

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/900,057	07/06/2001	Fayad Z. Sheabar	4532660/29930 7817	
7590 12/14/2004			EXAMINER	
Daniel A. Rosenberg			LEITH, PATRICIA A	
Suite 2500 The Financial C	enter		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
666 Walnut Street			1654	
Des Moines, IA 50309			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,					
Office Action Summary	09/900,057	SHEABAR ET AL.			
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Patricia Leith	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Second 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the corre	epted or b) objected to by the d drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/900,057

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 4-5, 7-12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borud et al. (EP 0487 480 A2).

The teachings of Borud were keenly described in the previous Office Action.

Borud did not teach the addition of an organic acid into the extraction mixture.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to substitute hydrochloric acid for an organic acid because the ordinary artisan would have had a reasonable expectation that an organic acid and hydrochloric acid would act as functional equivalents in lowering the pH of the extraction medium. Applicant argues that formic acid was added in order to reduce oxidation as well as lower pH. It is noted that the claims do not state 'formic acid' but only states 'organic acid'.

Art Unit: 1654

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borud as applied to claims 1, 4-5 and 7-12 above and further in view of Pearce et al. (1983) or Bryant et al. (1976) for the reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments pertaining to this rejection were based upon the contention that the new limitation in claim 1 which reads 'organic acid' was sufficient to overcome the prior art rejection. This argument is essentially moot since the inclusion of 'organic acid' does not overcome the prior art.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borud as applied to claims 1, 4-5 and 7-12 above, and further in view of Ryan et al. (WO 99/01474).

Again, Applicant's arguments pertaining to this rejection were based on the contention that the new limitation in claim 1 which states 'organic acid' was sufficient to overcome the prior art rejection. This argument is essentially moot since the inclusion of 'organic acid' does not overcome the prior art.

Claims 2 and 3 remain free of the prior art however, are objected to for being dependant upon a rejected claim.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

No Claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia Leith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1654

Jaluaga Hulk

12/10/04